







Fall 2015 – Question 3 Eric Brewer discusses consistency, availability, and partition tolerance in the CAP Theorem. Explain how it applies to traditional ACID semantics and the

CAP I heorem. Explain now it applies to traditional ACID semantics and the two-phase commit protocol? Note: the question does NOT ask to explain why ACID semantics are not

Note: the question does NOT ask to explain why ACID semantics are not always the best to use and why you might want BASE (eventual consistency) instead. It asks you to explain the trade-off in providing ACID semantics.

Availability is lost to preserve consistency & partition tolerance.

If a network is partitioned & some processes cannot be reached, the coordinator will wait, trying again & again.

Sub-transactions cannot commit and will hold on to their locks, sacrificing availability.

ACID: Locking & 2PC forces consistency across partitions.



Fall 2014: Question 2

What is a false deadlock and under what conditions could a false deadlock arise?

Improper message ordering when reporting a wait-for graph can result in a coordinator thinking that a circular dependency, and hence deadlock, exists when that is really not the case.

Not: a host with a lock dies and then recovers.













































Fall 2015 – Question 28

AS GFS master:

- a) Identifies the addresses of all the name servers that keep track of file names and data
- b) Accepts every client request and routes it to the appropriate server.
- c) Stores all the names in the file system along with the location of their data
 d) Receives file write operations from clients that are then propagated to
- replicas.

· The GFS master stores metadata

· File content is distributed - and replicated - among chunkservers



